



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/924,200	08/07/2001	Quintin T. Phillips	10002608-1	2177

7590

01/16/2003

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

BEATTY, ROBERT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2852

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/924,200

Applicant(s)

PHILLIPS ET AL.

Examiner

Robert Beatty

Art Unit

2852

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because:

reference character "30" has been used to designate both an access door and other image forming parts (see Figs 2 and 4).

In Fig. 1, access door 18 is not shown open in broken line and it is unclear what the broken line is actually depicted (it is not a typically constructed toner cartridge 20). Clarification and amendment is suggested.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The applicant should note that the "access door" recited in the claims has been interpreted as the "top hinged access door" recited in the specification (such as access door 18 in Fig.1) which is a completely different and unrelated part from the opening (i.e. it is believed the numeral 30 pointing to the opening in Figs 2 and 4 is in error).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3,6,10-14,16,19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsunaga (JP#04-184464).

Matsunaga teach an imaging system comprising a plurality of consumable replaceable toner cartridges 5A, 5B, 5C, and 5D which are insertable into an opening (no reference numeral) in the imaging system via a guide and gear system 10A-10D and 23,22,19. The plurality of cartridges are mounted in a rotatable carousel having a door 30. If a toner end detection is detected via sensor 24,25, a cartridge is automatically ejected out of the image forming system from the opening. An access door 30 which is openable and closable is provided remote from the actual opening in which the toner cartridges pass. As seen in Fig. 6, the toner cartridge is guided into position through the opening with the access door in the closed position.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsunaga (JP# 04-184464) in view of Tani et al.

Matsunaga taught supra discloses everything claimed except a display which will display the toner end signal. Tani et al. teach an imaging system having a

replaceable toner cartridge 27 insertable into an opening 23a. When it is detected by a sensor 22 that a toner is nearly depleted, a message on a display will inform the operator to supply toner. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display a toner end signal to the operator because the operator can be informed of the status of the imaging system.

5. Claims 1,6-9,13,16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitajima et al. in view of Kasamura et al.

Kitajima et al. teach an imaging system comprising a plurality of replaceable toner cartridges 1, a plurality of openings 2, and guides (such as the walls of the openings) which guide the cartridge into an in-use position. Access doors 100b are openable and closable so as to gain access to the image forming parts and is completely unrelated to the toner cartridge loading system. The toner cartridges are configured to be loaded while the access door is in the closed position. Specifically, Kitajima et al. teach everything claimed except the cartridges and openings having registration key/fin mechanisms which allow an appropriate cartridge to be loaded. Kasamura et al. teach an imaging system having a replaceable toner cartridge 32 which is insertable into an opening 23a in the imaging system. The cartridge has a fin 33 which mates with a slot 24 of the opening so that an appropriate cartridge can be inserted into the system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cartridges in Kitajima

et al. with the fin/slot mechanism in Kasamura et al. because containers having different color toner can always be inserted in the correct dispensing location.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/ 31/ 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the applied references do not have a means to receive, guide and grasp an inserted toner cartridge. Matsunaga (JP) teach a mechanism in Figs 3 and 4 that receive, guide and grasp an inserted toner cartridge. Such a mechanism moves the toner cartridge to an operation position. Katajima et al. teach guide wall of the appropriate opening and a member 62f (Fig.45) which grasps an inserted toner cartridge. The side guide walls and member 62f serve to receive, guide and grasp an inserted toner cartridges.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is 703-308-1372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley, can be reached on (703) 308-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.



Robert Beatty  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2852

Application/Control Number: 09/924,200  
Art Unit: 2852

Page 7

January 14, 2003